

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,322	02/06/2004	Cheng-Chieh Liu	0941-0911P	6485
2292	7590 06/27/2006		EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH &	LE, DANG D		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ſľ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C.C.
	10/772,322	LIU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dang D. Le	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	ess
• •			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT. .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this comm DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 /	April 2006.		
· _ ·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		-	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applic	ation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	eived in this National Sta	age
application from the International Burea	` ''		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform	i Date al Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed 4/18/06, with respect to all claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Rejection of all claims has been withdrawn. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 8, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horiuchi et al. (5,969,445).

Regarding claim 1, Horiuchi et al. shows a container (56, Figures 1 and 8) for mounting a motor controller for a heat-dissipating device having a chassis (46), said container comprising a main body (56A) directly mounting on the chassis of the heat-dissipating device and having a slot (where 55 located, between 58 and 56B) to receive the motor controller (FETS 55).

Regarding claim 8, Horiuchi et al. shows a heat-dissipating device (Figures 1 and 8), comprising:

- A chassis (46);
- A stator (12) disposed on the chassis;

Application/Control Number: 10/772,322

Art Unit: 2834

- A rotor (5) surrounding the stator and coupled to the stator;

 A motor controller (FETS 55) driving and controlling the heat-dissipating device; and

A container (56) directly mounted on the chassis and having a slot (Where 55 located, between 58 and 56B) to receive the motor controller.

Regarding claims 7, 14, and 15, Horiuchi et al. shows the container being mounted on the chassis and pins (61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2-6, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi et al. in view of Doemen et al. (4,482,849).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/772,322

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Horiuchi et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the use of hooks.

Doemen et al. shows the hooks (179, 180, Figure 9) for the purpose of mounting the container easily.

Since Horiuchi et al. and Doemen et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include hooks as taught by Doemen et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 12, and 13, it is noted that Doemen et al. also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention including the pillars (56).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 9, and 10, Doemen et al. also shows the container being substantially square and the slot being formed in the central portion.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi et al. in view of Doemen et al. and further in view of Horng.

Regarding claim 16, the motor of Horiuchi et al. modified by Doemen et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the motor controller being an integrated circuit.

Horng et al. shows the motor controller (3) being an integrated circuit with the Hall sensor for the purpose of reducing parts.

Since Horiuchi et al., Doemen et al., and Horng et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the sensor with the controller as taught by Horng for the purpose discussed above.

8. Claims 17, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horng (6,462,443) in view of Takashi (JP 63-039448).

Regarding claim 17, Horng shows a heat-dissipating device, comprising:

- A chassis (4);
- A stator (11, 12) disposed on the chassis;
- A rotor (5) surrounding the stator and coupled to the stator;
- A motor controller (3) driving and controlling the heat-dissipating device; and
- The controller (Figure 3) directly mounted on and protruding from the stator.

Horng does not shows a container directly mounted on and protruding from the stator and having a slot to receive the motor controller.

Takashi shows a container (16) directly mounted on and protruding from the stator (10) and having a slot to receive the sensor for the purpose of protecting the sensor.

Since Horng and Takashi are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,322

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a container for mounting the controller as taught by Takashi for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Horng also shows the pins and the integrated circuit with detector.

9. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horng in view of Takashi and further in view of Doemen et al.

Regarding claims 18-20, the device of Horng modified by Takashi includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the cover with the container being mounted on the cover, and the container being formed with pillars.

Doemen et al. shows the cover (28) with the container (54) being mounted on the cover, and the container being formed with pillars (56) for the purpose of making easily connection.

Since Horng, Takashi, and Doemen et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the cover with the container being mounted on the cover, and the container being formed with pillars as taught by Doemen et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 21, Doemen et al. also shows the container being mounted on the cover portion.

Art Unit: 2834

Information on How to Contact USPTO

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lmg Lile

6/22/06

DANG LE PRIMARY EXAMINER